

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,961	01/24/2001	Tatsuya Takaoka	P100158-00024	2795
23353 75	90 11/07/2003	•	ЕХАМГ	NER
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING			GOFF II, JOHN L	
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036		, C	1733	10
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		CLO 14			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/767,961	TAKAOKA, TATSUYA			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John L. Goff	1733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the co	correspond nc address			
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a simely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiphaces the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	-				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 7-11.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4-6.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation Sh et (PTOL-303) 09/767,961



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claim 1 would require a rubber strip wrapping apparatus comprising an injection device connected to a guide roll device. It is noted the claims did not previously require this limitation, and as such the claim as amended would require further search-and/or consideration. Furthermore, amended claim 1 would require a guide roll movable to and between an extended and a retracted state. It is noted the claims did not previously require this limitation, and as such the claim as amended would require further search and/or consideration.

John L. Goff

JEFF H. AFTERGUT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300